UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C.

§

In the Matter of:	
Taotao USA, Inc.,	
Taotao Group Co., Ltd., and	
Jinyun County Xiangyuan Industry	
Co., Ltd.,	
Respondents.	

Docket No. CAA-HQ-2015-8065

RESPONDENT TAOTAO USA, INC.'S AMENDED ANSWER AND REQUEST FOR HEARING

Respondent, Taotao USA, Inc. ("Taotao USA") by and through its Attorney of Record, William Chu, files this Amended Answer and Request for Hearing and by way of response to the Complaint, admits, denies and alleges as follows:

RESPONSE TO PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. Paragraph 1 of the Complaint contains allegations about the authority under which the Complaint is issued. Such allegations constitute conclusions of law, which Respondent is not required to admit or deny. Further, Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations regarding the authority under which the Complaint is issued, conclusions about the regulatory status of certain materials, and the legality of the management thereof and therefore cannot admit same. To the extent any allegation in Paragraph 1 of the Complaint is not specifically admitted, it is denied and Respondent demands strict proof thereof.

2. This Paragraph contains statements of law that Respondent is not required to admit or deny. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

3. Admitted.

4. Admitted.

5. Admitted.

6. Admitted.

7. This Paragraph contains statements of law that Respondent is not required to admit or deny. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

8. This Paragraph contains statements of law that Respondent is not required to admit or deny. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

9. This Paragraph contains statements of law that Respondent is not required to admit or deny. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

10. Admitted.

11. This Paragraph contains statements of law that Respondent is not required to admit or deny. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

12. Admitted.

13. Admitted.

14. Admitted.

15. Admitted.

16. Admitted to the extent that the COC applications include a contractual agreement between Taotao Group Co., Ltd (Taotao Group) and Taotao USA in which Taotao Group appoints Taotao USA as its agent for service of process from EPA, otherwise denied.

17. Admitted to the extent that the COC applications include a contractual agreement between Jinyun County Xiangyuan Industry, Co., Ltd. ("JCXI") and Taotao USA in which JCXI appoints Taotao USA, Inc. as its agent for service of process from EPA, otherwise denied.

RESPONSE TO JURISDICTION

19. This Paragraph contains statements of law that Respondent is not required to admit or deny. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

20. This Paragraph contains statements of law that Respondent is not required to admit or deny. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

21. This Paragraph contains statements of law that Respondent is not required to admit or deny. Respondent has no knowledge of what the Administrator and Attorney General determined for this matter. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

22. Admitted.

RESPONSE TO GOVERNING LAW

23. This Paragraph contains statements of law that Respondent is not required to admit or deny. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

24. This Paragraph contains statements of law that Respondent is not required to admit or deny. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

25. This Paragraph contains statements of law that Respondent is not required to admit or deny. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

26. This Paragraph contains statements of law that Respondent is not required to admit or deny. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

RESPONSE TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF LAW

27. This Paragraph contains statements of law that Respondent is not required to admit or deny. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

29. Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

30. Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

31. Admitted.

32. As to Respondent, Taotao USA admitted.

33. Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

34. Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

35. Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

36. Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

37. Denied. To the extent this Paragraph contains statements of law, Respondent is not required to admit or deny. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

38. Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in the first portion of Paragraph 38. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied. In regard to Paragraph 38(a), denied. In regard to Paragraph 38(b), denied. In regard to Paragraph 38(c), denied.

39. Admitted.

RESPONSE TO COUNT 1

40. Respondent hereby restates and incorporates by reference its Answers to Paragraphs 1 through 39 of the Complaint above.

41. Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

42. Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied. Second, this Paragraph contains statements of law that Respondent is not required to admit or deny. Subject thereto and without waiving same, Respondent denies that a difference in catalyst active material results in the tested vehicles not conforming in all material aspects to the COC application and that said engine family is therefore uncertified, and otherwise denies the remainder of this Paragraph.

43. Admitted.

44. Respondent is unable to answer this Paragraph as it is overly broad and vague as to which "annual report" is being referred to.

45. Admitted to the extent that Taotao Group built the vehicles in the amount referenced in the paragraph. Respondent denies that Taotao Group was the "manufacturer" for the purposes of COC requirements. Admitted as to Respondent Taotao USA.

46. Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

47. Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

48. Denied. To the extent this Paragraph contains statements of law, Respondent is not required to admit or deny. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

49. Denied.

RESPONSE TO COUNT 2

50. Respondent hereby restates and incorporates by reference its Answers to Paragraphs 1 through 49 of the Complaint above.

51. Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

52. Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied. Second, this Paragraph contains statements of law that Respondent is not required to admit or deny. Subject thereto and without waiving same, Respondent denies that a difference in catalyst active material results in the tested vehicles not conforming in all material aspects to the COC application and that said engine family is therefore uncertified, and otherwise denies the remainder of this Paragraph.

53. Admitted.

54. Respondent is unable to answer this Paragraph as it is overly broad and vague as to which "annual report" is being referred to.

55. Admitted to the extent that Taotao Group built the vehicles in the amount referenced in the paragraph. Respondent denies that Taotao Group was the "manufacturer" for the purposes of COC requirements. Admitted as to Respondent Taotao USA.

56. Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

58. Denied. To the extent this Paragraph contains statements of law, Respondent is not required to admit or deny. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

59. Denied.

RESPONSE TO COUNT 3

60. Respondent hereby restates and incorporates by reference its Answers to Paragraphs 1 through 59 of the Complaint above.

61. Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

62. Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied. Second, this Paragraph contains statements of law that Respondent is not required to admit or deny. Subject thereto and without waiving same, Respondent denies that a difference in catalyst active material results in the tested vehicles not conforming in all material aspects to the COC application and that said engine family is therefore uncertified, and otherwise denies the remainder of this Paragraph.

63. Admitted.

64. Respondent is unable to answer this Paragraph as it is overly broad and vague as to which "annual report" is being referred to.

65. Admitted to the extent that Taotao Group built the vehicles in the amount referenced in the paragraph. Respondent denies that Taotao Group was the "manufacturer" for the purposes of COC requirements. Admitted as to Respondent Taotao USA.

67. Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

68. Denied. To the extent this Paragraph contains statements of law, Respondent is not required to admit or deny. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

69. Denied.

RESPONSE TO COUNT 4

70. Respondent hereby restates and incorporates by reference its Answers to Paragraphs 1 through 69 of the Complaint above.

71. Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

72. Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied. Second, this Paragraph contains statements of law that Respondent is not required to admit or deny. Subject thereto and without waiving same, Respondent denies that a difference in catalyst active material results in the tested vehicles not conforming in all material aspects to the COC application and that said engine family is therefore uncertified, and otherwise denies the remainder of this Paragraph.

73. Admitted.

74. Admitted to the extent that Taotao Group built the vehicles in the amount referenced in the paragraph. Respondent denies that Taotao Group was the "manufacturer" for the purposes of COC requirements. Admitted as to Respondent Taotao USA.

76. Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

77. Denied. To the extent this Paragraph contains statements of law, Respondent is not required to admit or deny. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

78. Denied.

RESPONSE TO COUNT 5

79. Respondent hereby restates and incorporates by reference its Answers to Paragraphs 1 through 78 of the Complaint above.

80. Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

81. Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied. Second, this Paragraph contains statements of law that Respondent is not required to admit or deny. Subject thereto and without waiving same, Respondent denies that a difference in catalyst active material results in the tested vehicles not conforming in all material aspects to the COC application and that said engine family is therefore uncertified, and otherwise denies the remainder of this Paragraph.

82. Admitted.

83. Respondent is unable to answer this Paragraph as it is overly broad and vague as to which "annual report" is being referred to.

84. Admitted to the extent that JCXI built the vehicles in the amount referenced in the paragraph. Respondent denies that JCXI was the "manufacturer" for the purposes of COC requirements. Admitted as to Respondent Taotao USA.

85. Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

86. Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

87. Denied. To the extent this Paragraph contains statements of law, Respondent is not required to admit or deny. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

88. Denied.

RESPONSE TO COUNT 6

89. Respondent hereby restates and incorporates by reference its Answers to Paragraphs 1 through 88 of the Complaint above.

90. Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

91. Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied. Second, this Paragraph contains statements of law that Respondent is not required to admit or deny. Subject thereto and without waiving same, Respondent denies that a difference in catalyst active material results in the tested vehicles not conforming in all material aspects to the COC application and that said engine family is therefore uncertified, and otherwise denies the remainder of this Paragraph.

92. Admitted to the extent that Respondent built the vehicles in the amount referenced in the paragraph. Respondent denies that it was the "manufacturer" for the purposes of COC requirements.

93. Respondent is unable to answer this Paragraph as it is overly broad and vague as to which "annual report" is being referred to.

94. Admitted to the extent that JCXI built the vehicles in the amount referenced in the paragraph. Respondent denies that JCXI was the "manufacturer" for the purposes of COC requirements. Admitted as to Respondent Taotao USA.

95. Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

96. Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

97. Denied. To the extent this Paragraph contains statements of law, Respondent is not required to admit or deny. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

98. Denied.

RESPONSE TO COUNT 7

99. Respondent hereby restates and incorporates by reference its Answers to Paragraphs 1 through 98 of the Complaint above.

100. Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

101. Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied. Second, this Paragraph contains statements of law that Respondent is not required to admit or deny.

Subject thereto and without waiving same, Respondent denies that a difference in catalyst active material results in the tested vehicles not conforming in all material aspects to the COC application and that said engine family is therefore uncertified, and otherwise denies the remainder of this Paragraph.

102. Admitted.

103. Respondent is unable to answer this Paragraph as it is overly broad and vague as to which "annual report" is being referred to.

104. Admitted to the extent that JCXI built the vehicles in the amount referenced in the paragraph. Respondent denies that JCXI was the "manufacturer" for the purposes of COC requirements. Admitted as to Respondent Taotao USA.

105. Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

106. Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

107. Denied. To the extent this Paragraph contains statements of law, Respondent is not required to admit or deny. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

108. Denied.

RESPONSE TO COUNT 8

109. Respondent hereby restates and incorporates by reference its Answers to Paragraphs 1 through 108 of the Complaint above.

110. Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

111. Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied. Second, this Paragraph contains statements of law that Respondent is not required to admit or deny. Subject thereto and without waiving same, Respondent denies that a difference in catalyst active material results in the tested vehicles not conforming in all material aspects to the COC application and that said engine family is therefore uncertified, and otherwise denies the remainder of this Paragraph.

112. Admitted.

113. Respondent is unable to answer this Paragraph as it is overly broad and vague as to which "annual report" is being referred to.

114. Admitted to the extent that JCXI built the vehicles in the amount referenced in the paragraph. Respondent denies that JCXI was the "manufacturer" for the purposes of COC requirements. Admitted as to Respondent Taotao USA.

115. Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

116. Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

117. Denied. To the extent this Paragraph contains statements of law, Respondent is not required to admit or deny. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

118. Denied.

RESPONSE TO COUNT 9

119. Respondent hereby restates and incorporates by reference its Answers to Paragraphs 1 through 118 of the Complaint above.

121. Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied. Second, this Paragraph contains statements of law that Respondent is not required to admit or deny. Subject thereto and without waiving same, Respondent denies that a difference in catalyst active material results in the tested vehicles not conforming in all material aspects to the COC application and that said engine family is therefore uncertified, and otherwise denies the remainder of this Paragraph.

122. Admitted to the extent that JCXI built the vehicles in the amount referenced in the paragraph. Respondent denies that JCXI was the "manufacturer" for the purposes of COC requirements. Admitted as to Respondent Taotao USA.

123. Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

124. Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

125. Denied. To the extent this Paragraph contains statements of law, Respondent is not required to admit or deny. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

126. Denied.

RESPONSE TO COUNT 10

127. Respondent hereby restates and incorporates by reference its Answers to Paragraphs 1 through 126 of the Complaint above.

129. Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied. Second, this Paragraph contains statements of law that Respondent is not required to admit or deny. Subject thereto and without waiving same, Respondent denies that a difference in catalyst active material results in the tested vehicles not conforming in all material aspects to the COC application and that said engine family is therefore uncertified, and otherwise denies the remainder of this Paragraph.

130. Admitted to the extent that JCXI built the vehicles in the amount referenced in the paragraph. Respondent denies that JCXI was the "manufacturer" for the purposes of COC requirements. Admitted as to Respondent Taotao USA.

131. Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

132. Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this Paragraph. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

133. Denied. To the extent this Paragraph contains statements of law, Respondent is not required to admit or deny. Subject thereto and without waiving same, denied.

134. Denied.

RESPONSE TO PROPOSED CIVIL PENALTY

135. Paragraphs 135 through 141 do not require specific responses in Respondent's Answer, however to the extent the proposed civil penalty is considered, Paragraphs 137 through 140 purport to summarize portions of the statutes which statutes speak for themselves, and such

allegations constitute conclusions of law, to which Respondent is not required to admit or deny. To the extent any allegation in Paragraphs 135 through 141 is not specifically admitted, it is denied and Respondent requests strict proof thereof.

RESPONDENT'S AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Without admission of any issues of fact or law, except as expressly stated above, and with full reservation of all applicable rights and defenses, Respondent requests dismissal or mitigation of the allegations based upon the following factors, all of which are based upon Respondent's information and belief.

1. The Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. Specifically, there have been no allegations of Respondent exceeding emission standards under the Clean Air Act ("CAA").

2. Complainant has not alleged any facts showing that Respondent's conduct was a cause in fact of any release of excess emissions from mobile sources as set forth in Paragraph 23 of the complaint, including hydrocarbons, oxides of nitrogen, and carbon monoxide, nor has Respondent caused any impact to any regulatory scheme.

3. In the event that any catalysts active material was either missing or not present in the quantity or concentration described in the relevant COC applications for the 10 Engine Families, as asserted in the Complaint, such deviations from the COC applications were *de minimis* in their contribution to any potential emission of excess pollutants and were therefore insufficient as a matter of law under the due process and equal protection rights guaranteed by the United States Constitution and pursuant to the *de minimis* doctrine to give rise to any liability.

4. The regulations implemented by the EPA and asserted against Respondent are unconstitutional and overstep the reaches of Chevron Deference. The Supreme Court in *Chevron*

stated that when a statute is ambiguous as to its purpose, agency interpretations are given controlling weight, "unless they are arbitrary, capricious, or manifestly contrary to the statute. *Chevron, U.S.A., Inc. v. NRDC, Inc.*, 467 U.S. 837, 844, 104 S. Ct. 2778, 2782 (1984). The relevant statute from Paragraph 23(b) of the Complaint, Section 213 of the Clean Air Act, states that the EPA has the authority to implement emissions standards to regulate actions that "cause, or significantly contribute to, air pollution which may reasonable be anticipated to endanger public health or welfare." 42 U.S.C. §7547. Respondent argues that the Governing Law asserted in Paragraphs 23 through 26 of the Complaint is not a reasonable interpretation of Section 213 of the Clean Air Act, as there have been no actual allegations of contributions to air pollution. It is unfair to access a penalty when there has been no assertion of any air pollution.

5. The Complaint alleges in Paragraphs 36 and 37 that the required catalyst active material was either missing or not present in the quantity or concentration described in the relevant COC Applications therefore the catalytic converters do not conform to the design specifications described in the relevant applications for COC's. Failure to conform to the design specifications described in the applications for COC's does not mean that the vehicles do not conform in all material respects to the specifications in the COC applications, nor does it mean that the vehicles are uncertified. The requirement that the COC's conform to the "design specifications in the relevant applications" is not a requirement stated in any applicable statutes or regulations. In fact, the specific language requiring conformity to "design specifications" was deleted from applicable regulations in 1982. *Compare* 40 C.F.R. 86.437-78, *as amended* and 40 C.F.R. 85.074-309 (1976) (repealed in 1977).

6. Under EPA's Compliance Determination Guidelines ("Guidelines") provided to Respondent Taotao, USA, in 2010, specific violations are listed which *may* make a

vehicle/engine uncertified are listed. Instances where a catalyst active material is either missing or not in the concentration described in the COC application is not listed as a violation, which makes a vehicle/engine uncertified. The intentional deletion of this requirement or failure to include it in the Guidelines suggests that such nonconformity does not make a vehicle/engine uncertified, as is required to constitute a violation of the Clean Air Act. Furthermore, the Guidelines list instances in which the vehicle/engine may be uncertified.

7. The EPA has not met its burden of establishing that it has jurisdiction over this matter or that it has the ability to assess a penalty in excess of \$320,000.00. The EPA has provided no proof that the Administrator and the Attorney General jointly determined that this matter is appropriate for an administrative penalty assessment proceeding, beyond a mere assertion in Paragraph 21 of the Complaint. Therefore, the EPA has not proven that it has jurisdiction over this matter through an administrative penalty assessment proceeding.

8. The relief sought is not proper having due regard to the gravity of the violation, the size of Respondent's business, and the effect on Respondent's ability to continue business.

9. Respondent affirmatively states that certification violations are generally not of "major" egregiousness. The Mobile Source penalty policy itself states that "violations should be classified as "Major" if vehicles or engines are uncertified and there is no information about the emissions from these vehicles or engines, or test data of the uncertified engines shows the engines to exceed emissions standards." Respondent argues that information about emissions from these vehicles and test data is available, and should be analyzed in any penalty policy analysis, if any analysis is performed.

10. The claims asserted by Complainant may be barred by any or all of the affirmative defenses contemplated by Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. To the extent that Complainant's

claims may be barred by one or more of said affirmative defenses not specifically set out above, such defenses cannot be determined until there is further discovery.

RESPONDENT'S REQUEST FOR HEARING

Pursuant to the consolidated Rules of Practice, 40 C.F.R. Part 22, Respondent hereby requests a hearing on this matter in which it will contest allegations of material fact and applications of law in the Complaint and contest the appropriateness of the proposed penalties in the Complaint.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Respondent prays that the Complaint be withdrawn with prejudice in whole or in part as it pertains to Respondent, and for such other relief, at law or in equity, to which Respondent shows itself to be justly entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

William Chu Texas State Bar No. 04241000 4455 LBJ Freeway, Suite 1008 Dallas, Texas 75244 Telephone: (972) 392-9888 Facsimile: (972) 392-9889 wmchulaw@aol.com COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on August 17, 2016 the foregoing Answer was filed and served on the Presiding Officer electronically through the Office of Administrative Law Judges (OALJ) efiling system. I certify that a copy of the foregoing Answer was served by mail on August 17, 2016 to Ed Kulschinsky, counsel for Complainant and Robert Klepp, counsel for Complainant, as follows:

> Ed Kulschinsky Air Enforcement Division Office of Civil Enforcement Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW William J. Clinton Federal Building Room 1142C, Mail Code 2242A Washington, DC 20460 (202) 564-4133 Kulschinsky.edward@epa.gov

Robert G. Klepp U.S. EPA, Air Enforcement Division 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Mail Code 2242A Washington, DC 20460

William Chu